IJSRE

national Journal of Scientific Research in Education (IJSF ISSN: 1117-3259

Developing a Checklist for Assessing the Appropriateness of Education Degree Research Projects in South-Eastern Universities, Nigeria

Frederick Ekene Onahⁱ Imo State University, Nigeria <u>fredonah2@vahoo.com</u>

Chidera Emmanuel Amaechiⁱⁱ Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria <u>chideraemma19@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Due to lack of valid and reliable instruments for assessing the appropriateness of research projects in this era of global education, the researchers developed and validated an instrument for assessing the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities in South-East Geo-Political Zone of Nigeria. The study is an instrumentation research design. The population of the study consists of 3586 tutorial staff and the sample comprised of 1000 tutorial staff that were sampled using disproportionate by cluster random sampling technique. The instrument that was used for data collection for this study is a checklist titled "Appropriateness of Education Degree Research Projects' Checklist (AEDRPC)" with 80 items in 7 components clusters. The instrument was initially face validated by 7 experts in the area of Measurement and Evaluation. Content validity of faculty formats from various universities that offer education degree was used to answer the research question one, research question two was answered using Kendell Coefficient of Concordance (W) Statistics, while research question three was answered using Phi Coefficient (0) Statistics. It was revealed in this study that the 80 items of the instrument AEDRPC, were acceptable and as such seen to be suitable for use in the study. The results of the study also showed that AEDRPC is reliable, and therefore and can be used to measure the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities in South-East Geo-Political Zone of Nigeria. Based on the findings, it was recommended among others that evaluators and researchers in universities should adopt this instrument in assessing the appropriateness of research projects in their Universities.

Keywords: Development, Validation, Instrument, Education Degree, Research Projects.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows:

Onah, F. E., & Amaechi, C. E. (2017). Developing a Checklist for Assessing the Appropriateness of Education Degree Research Projects in South-Eastern Universities, Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Education*, 10(1), 50-57. Retrieved [DATE] from http://www.ijsre.com

.....

Copyright © 2017 IJSRE

INTRODUCTION

The roles of educational research in knowledge contribution and societal development cannot be overemphasized. Educational research is a systematic and a set of coordinated activities which is used to expose the issues concerned with teaching and learning, which invariably helps to improve its immediate practice for national development. On another definition of educational research, Amaechi, Amaechi and Emerole (2015), defines it as a systematically controlled investigation of an event, place, individual or object associated with education and its practices with the aim of understanding or verifying knowledge that will help to solve academic and societal problems. Also, the definition implies that every research study has a goal or purpose. This implies that educational research plays a crucial role towards societal development. This could be why the Federal Republic of Nigeria (FRN, 2014) stipulates that tertiary institution research shall be relevant to the nation's development goals. Students in tertiary institutions are to undertake projects and action research, while the institutions disseminate research results to both government and industries for proper utilization. In pursuance of this goal, it has been enshrined in the curriculum for all levels of the tertiary education that undertaking a project work and successful presentation of the research project report would be pre-requisite for graduating in any degree programme of universities.

Project research is seen as a student's Master Piece. It gives the student the opportunity of looking into various problems in his or her area of specialization and following scientific method to systematically proffer solution to the problem (Ifedili & Omiunu, 2012). At the final year of university programme, education students conduct researches and report them in writing. For B.Sc.Ed./B.A.Ed. students the research is called projects, M.Ed. students write theses while the Ph.D.s are called dissertations. In some universities, the masters project is called dissertation while that of Ph.D. is called thesis. It is expected that the researcher follow their faculty format in conducting a research. No matter the university involved, in as much as it is faculty of education, they have similar format for writing their research work.

Educational research writing in faculties of education in universities or colleges of education consists of five chapters, beginning from introduction to the summary. In chapter is titled; 'Introduction', it is where the students lay foundation on which the study will be build highlighting key issues that surround the topic of investigation in line with the objectives that will lead the study through. Chapter two reviews literature that have bearing to the key concepts of the study. In this chapter two, the researcher conceptualizes the key issues of the topic with theoretical foundation and empirical evidence. Chapter three is on the method that allows the researcher to systematically arrive at a solution to the identified problem. The researcher will lay emphasis on the design of the study, instrumentation and how to conduct such study (Amaechi, 2016). In chapter four, the analysis of data collected for the study are done either in tabular or graphical forms. In the same chapter, statistical analyses are used to represent the ideas the researcher intends to expose for easy understanding by answering the research questions and testing the hypotheses. In the chapter five, the researcher summarizes the study and discusses the findings of the study in line with recommendations and other relevant issues.

Amaechi, Amaechi and Emerole (2015), found out that post graduate students perceived that they find it difficult to identify the best method for analysis, best statistics to answer the research questions and suitable statistics to test the research hypotheses. However, Ogomaka (2004) revealed that the extent to which the project reports, thesis or dissertations are appropriately, conventionally and meaningfully written is certainly far below the expectation. Ibe (2008) findings reported that majority of Nigerian students and researchers make their efforts less rewarding and of less consequence because of the way and manner;

research topics are formulated and the designs of such studies chosen and results of data analysis are interpreted. Nwana (2007), advised that data which are not related to the study should not be collected no matter how interesting or how easily available they may be. This spelt out the relevance of instrument for data collection of every research. Isangedighi and Ogomaka (1992), then believed that without data collection instrument, there exists no research. Looking at the issues above, development of appropriate (validated and reliable) instrument that will be researchers in conducting a good and reliable research project is important in this contemporary society. Two major properties are considered essential for developing an instrument, they are; validity and reliability. For an instrument to achieve the objectives to which it was developed, validity of such instrument is crucial. Validity of an instrument refers to the capability of an instrument to measure whatever it is designed to measure (Nwana, 2007). On the other hand, reliability of an instrument refers to the capacity and consistency of a measuring instrument to give the same information each time it is used (Egwim & Amaechi, 2015). This implies that if an instrument persistently and consistently measure what it set out to measure anytime it is used, that instrument can be relied upon (Amaechi, Onah & Nosike, 2016).

Empirically, Balogun and Mustapha (2014), concluded that test items that have high factor loading and satisfy other psychometric properties are important for selection in any instrument development and validation study. Similarly, Onye and Amaechi (2016) found out that their scale had a high reliability index of 0.84. Awofala (2011) found out that their instrument had good reliability with Cronbach alpha coefficient of 0.70. It is therefore expected of any developed instrument to be valid and reliable.

The issue of appropriateness or otherwise of the manner of reporting educational research in some universities is a thing of concern in the academic environment. A glance through some undergraduate and postgraduate banded projects/thesis may suggest that some areas in some chapters may not have been appropriately reported. One could see some topics that are experimental in nature having the design of survey; others misunderstand correlational designs to ex-post facto design, while others shy away from conducting experimental studies due to its tedious procedures. In most cases, researchers always use questionnaire in their research while the appropriate instrument could not have been questionnaire. They have bastardized the use of questionnaire because it is easy to use. A close look at some research works in university libraries could reveal that most research projects do not have evidence of instrument validation; even some have errors in their statistical analyses while others may have had a poor discussion of their findings. If these practices are allowed to persist, the aim of research writing in the universities may be defeated. It is on this foundation that the researchers deemed it fit to develop a checklist for assessing the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities in South-East Geo-Political Zone of Nigeria. This study posed as a question: what is the content validity and consistency of the developed items of "Appropriateness of Education Degree Research Projects Checklist (AEDRPC)" in South East State-owned Universities in Nigeria? The answer to the above question becomes the thrust of this study.

The central purpose of this study is to develop an instrument (checklist) for assessing the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities in South-East Geo-Political Zone of Nigeria. Specifically, the study sought to:

- Ascertain the content validity of AEDRPC,
- Examine the reliability of AEDRPC using Kendell Coefficient of Concordance (*W*), and
- Determine the reliability of AEDRPC using Phi Coefficient (0).

Research Questions

The following research questions were posed to guide the study:

- What is the content validity of AEDRPC?
- What is the reliability of AEDRPC using Kendell Coefficient of Concordance (W)?
- What is the reliability of AEDRPC using Phi Coefficient (0)?

METHOD

The design of this study is instrumentation research design. The population of the study consists of 3586 tutorial staff from 5 State-owned universities where 1000 tutorial staff that was sampled using disproportionate by cluster random sampling technique. The instrument that was used for data collection for this study is a checklist titled "Appropriateness of Education Degree Research Projects' Checklist (AEDRPC)" with 80 items with seven component clusters. The instrument was face validated by 7 experts/specialists in the area of measurement and evaluation. The checklist is formed with two options of appropriate and inappropriate. Content validity of faculty formats from various universities that offer education degree was used to answer the research question one, research question two was answered using Kendell Coefficient of Concordance (*W*) Statistics, while research question three was answered using Phi Coefficient (0) Statistics.

RESULTS

Research Question One: What is the content validity of AEDRPC?

Table 1: Result of content validity of AEDRPC

S/N	Item Statement	Decision
	Preliminary Pages	Relevant
1	Has cover page different from title page	
2	Title page is written in a sequential manner	\checkmark
3	Title page contained the appropriate information required by the faculty	\checkmark
4	Well written certification page	\checkmark
5	Dedicated the project to a living person and not to the dead or God	\checkmark
6	Used third person pronoun in writing acknowledgements	\checkmark
7	Acknowledged the personalities of interest that contributed to the project	\checkmark
8	Has a well written abstract	\checkmark
9	Contained a well arranged table of content	\checkmark
10	Has list of tables and figures	\checkmark
	Chapter One	
11	Issues are discussed in the background appropriate to the topic	\checkmark
12	Covered the variables of the topic in the background	\checkmark
13	Has non-ambiguity of statement	\checkmark
14	Has non double barreled statements	\checkmark
15	Research questions do not lead to a yes or no answer	\checkmark
16	Statement that can be measured are used	\checkmark
17	Aligned to the purpose of the study	\checkmark
18	Hypotheses are testable	\checkmark
19	The testing power of the hypotheses is clear	\checkmark
20	Research questions are suitable for the topic	\checkmark
	Chapter Two	
21	Introduced the chapter very well	\checkmark
22	Outlined the major areas of the chapter	\checkmark

25 Discussed the issues raised in the purpose of the study to be also in the conceptual v 24 Reviewed the relevant variables of the topic v 25 Used appropriate boxymodel to explain the topic v 26 Related the theory to the topic v 27 Reviewed their attemprical studies v 28 Related the empirical studies to the present topic v 29 Established gap to be filled in the study v 30 Critiqued others view in line with the present topic v 31 The research explained the need to use such design v 32 The design explained the procedure of for data collection v 33 The design explained the use of its statistical technique v 44 The design explained the suce of its statistical technique v 54 Variables of the study is is covered by the instrument(s) v v 57 The design explained the suce of for data collection v v 58 Wariables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) v v 59 Instrument is a fair representation of			1
24 Reviewed the relevant variables of the topic V 25 Used appropriate theory/model to explain the topic V 26 Related the theory to the topic V 27 Reviewed relevant empirical studies V 28 Related the empirical studies to the present topic V 29 Established gap to be filled in the study V 20 Critique others view in line with the present topic V 21 Adhered to APA format in citation V 22 The design explained the need to use such design V 31 The design explained the use of its statistical technique V 32 The design explained the topic V 33 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous V 34 The design explained the score its statistical technique V 35 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) V V 36 Instrument is aligned with the parpose of the study V V 37 Items in the instrument is well written V V 38 Sampling technique is appropriate written V V 49 <td>23</td> <td>Discussed the issues raised in the purpose of the study to be also in the conceptual</td> <td>\checkmark</td>	23	Discussed the issues raised in the purpose of the study to be also in the conceptual	\checkmark
25 Used appropriate theory/model to explain the topic V 27 Reviewed relevant empirical studies V 28 Related the empirical studies to the present topic V 29 Established gap to be filled in the study V 20 Critiqued others view in line with the present topic V 20 Adthered to APA format in citation V 21 The design explained the need to use such design V 23 The research explained the topic V 24 The design explained the proceed of for data collection V 25 Items in the instrument as ingrower of for data collection V 26 Instrument is align with the topic V 27 Ite design explained the use of its statistical technique V 28 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) V 29 Iterstiment is align and with stated V 40 Explained the inserument is well written V 42 Sample size is a fair representation of the population V 43 Required Vaildation V 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written <	24		.1
26 Related the theory to the topic V 27 Reviewed relevant empirical studies to the present topic V 28 Related the empirical studies to the present topic V 29 Established gap to be filled in the study V 20 Critiqued others view in line with the present topic V 21 Adhered to APA format in citation V 22 The design explained the nee of its statistical technique V 23 The design explained the use of its statistical technique V 24 The design explained the use of its statistical technique V 25 Instrument is niline with the topic V 26 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study V 27 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study V 28 Sumplicits is a propriate and well studed V 29 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study V 29 Relability of the instrument V 29 Sumpling technique is appropriate and well studed V 40 Relability of the instrument is aligned writh the purpose of the study V 41 <			N
27 Reviewed relevant empirical studies v 28 Related the empirical studies to the present topic v 29 Established gap to be filled in the study v 30 Critiqued others view in line with the present topic v 31 Adhered to APA format in citation v 32 The design explained the need to use such design v 33 The research explained the procedure of for data collection v 34 The design explained the procedure of for data collection v 35 The design explained the use of its statistical technique v 36 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous v 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous v 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) v 39 Items in the instrument is well written v 40 Explained the scoring pattern of the instrument v 41 Reliability of the instrument is well written v 42 Sample statis is a fair representation of the population v 43 Method of data collection is well explained v 44 Required			1
28 Related the empirical studies to the present topic V 29 Established gap to be filled in the study V 20 Critiqued others view in line with the present topic V 21 The design is appropriate to the topic V 22 The design explained the need to use such design V 23 The design explained the use of its statistical technique V 24 The design explained the use of its statistical technique V 25 Instrument is in line with the topic V 26 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study V 27 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous V 28 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) V 29 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study V 29 Explained the scring pattern of the instrument V 29 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated V 29 Method of data collection is well explained V 20 Collation of data was ensured V 21 Conputation of statistics/parameters are torder V 22 Collation of data			1
29 Established gap to be filled in the study No 30 Critiqued others view in line with the present topic No 31 Adhered to APA format in citation No 32 The design is appropriate to the topic No 33 The research explained the need to use such design No 34 The design explained the use of its statistical technique No 35 The design explained the use of its statistical technique No 36 The design explained the use of the study No 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous No 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument No 40 Explained the scoring pattern of the instrument No 41 Clearly explained the instrument No 42 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated No 43 Reliability of the instrument is well written No 44 Reliability of the instrument is usen stated No 45 Export and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are computed for validation No 46 Explained the age est of instruments is ensured appropriate statest of vasia sc			1
Ortigued others view in line with the present topic V Chapter Three V The design is appropriate to the topic V The design explained the need to use such design V The design explained the use of its statistical technique V The design explained the use of its statistical technique V The design explained the use of its statistical technique V Items in the instrument are not antombiguous V Wariables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) V Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study V Explained the score in pattern of the instrument V Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated V Reliability of the instrument is well explained V Method of data collection is well explained V Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) V Chapter Four V Collation of statistics/parameters are iter of veloces/statistical test statistical test were considered V Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately V Collation of statistics/parameters are clearly stated V Appropritat statistical formula/formulae are stated			
31 Adhered to APA format in citation V 32 The design is appropriate to the topic V 33 The design explained the need to use such design V 34 The design explained the procedure of for data collection V 35 The design explained the use of its statistical technique V 36 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) V 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous V 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument V 40 Explained the scoring pattern of the instrument V 41 Clearly explained the instrument of the instrument V 42 Sample size is a fair representation of the oppulation V 43 Reliability of the instrument is well written V 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written V 45 Method of data collection is well explained V 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation V 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated V 48 Required validity is carried out (facc, content, criterion-rel			
Chapter Three 32 The design is appropriate to the topic v 34 The design explained the procedure of for data collection v 35 The design explained the use of its statistical technique v 36 Instrument is in line with the topic v 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous v 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) v 39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study v 40 Explained the corring pattern of the instrument v 41 Clearly explained the instrument v 42 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated v 43 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated v 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written v 45 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation v 47 Appropriate method of data collexplained duc construct) v Chapter Four 48 Required validation v v Sorofing of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriat			
22 The design is appropriate to the topic v 33 The research explained the procedure of for data collection v 34 The design explained the procedure of for data collection v 35 The design explained the use of its statistical technique v 36 The design explained the use of its statistical technique v 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous v 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) v 39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study v 40 Explained the instrument v 41 Clearly explained the instrument v 42 Sample sterie is a fair representation of the population v 43 Reliability of the instrument is well written v 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written v 45 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation v 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Appropriately v v 47 Collation of data was ensured v v 48 Required validity is carried	31		N
33 The research explained the procedure of for data collection vitted 34 The design explained the use of its statistical technique vitted 35 The design explained the use of its statistical technique vitted 36 Instrument is in line with the topic vitted 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous vitted 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) vitted 39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study vitted 40 Explained the instrument vitted 41 Clearly explained the instrument vitted 42 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated vitted 43 Rehability of the instrument is well written vitted 44 Rehability of the instrument is vitted vitted 45 Method of data collection is well explained vitted 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation vitted 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated vitted construct) vitted construct) 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct)	22		1
34 The design explained the procedure of for data collection v 35 The design explained the use of its statistical technique v 36 Instrument is in line with the topic v 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous v 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) v 39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study v 40 Explained the scoring pattern of the instrument v 41 Clearly explained the instrument v 42 Sample size is a fair representation of the population v 43 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated v 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written v 45 Method of data collection is well explained v 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation v 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated v v 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) v Chapter Four 40 Collation of data was ensured v v v <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></tr<>			1
35 The design explained the use of its statistical technique view 36 Instrument is in line with the topic view 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous view 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) view 39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study view 40 Explained the scoring pattern of the instrument view 41 Clearly explained the instrument view 42 Sample size is a fair representation of the population view 43 Sample size is a fair representation of the population view 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written view 45 Method of data collection is well explained view 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation view 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated view view 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) view 49 Collation of data was ensured view view 50 Statistics/arameters are clearly stated view			1
36 Instrument is in line with the topic vi 37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous vi 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) vi 39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study vi 40 Explained the scoring pattern of the instrument vi 41 Clearly explained the instrument of the population vi 42 Sample size is a fair representation of the population vi 43 Reliability of the instrument is well written vi 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written vi 45 Method of data collection is well explained vi 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation vi 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated vi vi 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) vi vi 49 Collation of data was ensured vi vi vi 40 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately vi vi 41 Chebaic astaintico/strane cleant du used			N
37 Items in the instrument are not ambiguous violation 38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) violation 39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study violation 40 Explained the instrument violation 41 Clearly explained the instrument violation 42 Sample size is a fair representation of the population violation 43 Reliability of the instrument is well writen violation 44 Reliability of the instrument is well writen violation 45 Method of data collection is well explained violation are consulted for validation 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation violation are consulted for validation 47 Appropriate method of data was stated violation of statistics/parameters are in order violation of statistics/parameters are in order 48 Required validity is carried early stated violatistics/parameters are in order violatistics/parameters are in order violatistics/parameters are in order 49 Collation of statistics/parameters are in order violatistics/parameters are in order violatistics/parameters are in order 41			N
38 Variables of the study is covered by the instrument(s) 4 39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study 4 39 Explained the scoring pattern of the instrument 4 41 Clearly explained the instrument 4 42 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated 4 43 Reliability of the instrument is well written 4 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written 4 45 Method of data collection is well explained 4 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation 4 74 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated 4 4 8 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) 4 5 74 Collation of statistics/parameters are in order 4 5 75 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order 4 5 76 Scoring of responses to items of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered 4 76 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses 4 5 70 Used appropriate statistical tests </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>			1
39 Instrument is aligned with the purpose of the study √ 40 Explained the isorring pattern of the instrument √ 41 Clearly explained the instrument √ 42 Sample size is a fair representation of the population √ 43 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated √ 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written √ 45 Method of data collection is well explained √ 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation √ 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated √ 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) √ 49 Collation of data was ensured √ 50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately √ 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order √ 52 Use of statistics/parameters are ion order √ 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used √ 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered √ 55 <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>			1
40 Explained the scoring pattern of the instrument √ 41 Clearly explained the instrument √ 42 Sampling technique is a ppropriate and well stated √ 43 Reliability of the instrument is well written √ 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written √ 45 Method of data collection is well explained √ 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation √ 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated √ 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) √ 49 Collation of data was ensured √ 41 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order √ 42 Use of statistics/arameters are in order √ 43 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used √ 44 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered √ 45 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses √ 46 Leady propriate statistical tests √ 47 Used appropriate statistical te			1
41 Clearly explained the instrument view 42 Sample size is a fair representation of the population view 43 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated view 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written view 45 Method of data collection is well explained view 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation view 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated view 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) view 49 Collation of data was ensured view 40 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately view 41 Computation of statistics/parameters are learly stated view 42 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered view 43 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated view 44 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses view 45 Established the tail test for each test statistics view 46 Acceptance/rejoction on ull hypotheses vie			
42 Sample size is a fair representation of the population v 43 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated v 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written v 45 Method of data collection is well explained v 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation v 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated v 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) v 49 Collation of data was ensured v 40 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately v 41 Computation of statistics/parameters are clearly stated v 42 Use of statistic/aparameters are clearly stated v 43 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used v 44 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered v 45 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses v 46 Established the the all test for each test statistics v 47 Units of analyses is considered v <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></t<>			1
43 Sampling technique is appropriate and well stated v 44 Reliability of the instrument is well written v 45 Method of data collection is well explained v 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation v 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated v 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) v 49 Collation of data was ensured v 50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately v 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order v 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated v 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used v 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered v 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses v 66 Historic as considered v 70 Units of analyses is considered v 71 Used appropriate statistical tests v 72 Used appropriate statistic			
44 Reliability of the instrument is well written v 45 Method of data collection is well explained v 46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation v 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated v 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) v 49 Collation of data was ensured v 50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately v 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order v 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated v 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used v 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses v 55 Established the tail test for each test statistics v 56 Lestablished in tailistics/data v 57 Units of analyses is considered v 58 Used appropriate statistics are explained well for the research questions v 59 Non forgery of statistics are explained well for the research questions v 50			
45 Method of data collection is well explained Image: Second			
46 Experts and specialist in the field of Educational Measurement and Evaluation are consulted for validation V 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated V 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) V 49 Collation of data was ensured V 49 Collation of statistics/parameters are in order V 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are clearly stated V 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used V 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered V 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses V 56 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses V 57 Units of analyses is considered V 58 Used appropriate statistical tests V 59 Non forgery of statistics/ata V 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions V 62 Inference are made from the hypotheses V 63 Inference are made from the hypotheses V 64 Summary			,
consulted for validation √ 47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated √ 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) √ 49 Collation of data was ensured √ 49 Collation of data was ensured √ 50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately √ 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order √ 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated √ 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formula are stated and used √ 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered √ 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses √ 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics √ 57 Units of analyses is considered √ 58 Used appropriate statistical tests √ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data √ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 62 Deductions are made from the findings √ 64			
47 Appropriate method of data analysis was stated √ 48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) √ 49 Collation of data was ensured √ 50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately √ 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order √ 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated √ 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used √ 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered √ 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses √ 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics √ 57 Units of analyses is considered √ 58 Used appropriate statistical tests √ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data √ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses √ 74 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 75 Deductions are made from the findings √ 76 Forapter Five √	46		\checkmark
48 Required validity is carried out (face, content, criterion-related and construct) V 49 Collation of data was ensured V 50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately V 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order V 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated V 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used V 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered V 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses V 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics V 57 Units of analyses is considered V 58 Used appropriate statistical tests V 59 Non forgery of statistics/data V 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses V 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions V 62 Deductions are made from the findings V 64 Summary of findings are explained V 65 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>			1
Chapter Four √ 49 Collation of data was ensured √ 50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately √ 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order √ 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated √ 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used √ 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered √ 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses √ 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics √ 57 Units of analyses is considered √ 58 Used appropriate statistical tests √ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data √ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated √ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses √ 63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are in line with the findings √ 65 If the recommendat			
49 Collation of data was ensured \vee 50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately \vee 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order \vee 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated \vee 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used \vee 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered \vee 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses \vee 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics \vee 57 Units of analyses is considered \vee 58 Used appropriate statistical tests \vee 59 Non forgery of statistical tests \vee 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated \vee 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions \vee 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses \vee 63 Inference are made from the findings \vee 64 Summary of findings is aligned with the variables discussed \vee 65 If the recommendations	48		\checkmark
50 Scoring of responses to items of instruments is ensured appropriately v 51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order v 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated v 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used v 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered v 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses v 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics v 57 Units of analyses is considered v 58 Used appropriate statistical tests v 59 Non forgery of statistics/data v 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated v 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions v 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses v 63 Inference are made from the findings v 64 Summary of findings are explained v 65 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved v 66 If ne recommendations ate directed to be improved on			1
51 Computation of statistics/parameters are in order v 52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated v 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used v 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered v 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses v 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics v 57 Units of analyses is considered v 58 Used appropriate statistical tests v 59 Non forgery of statistical tests v 50 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions v 51 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions v 52 Deductions are made from the hypotheses v v 53 Inference are made from the findings v v 54 The recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved v v 55 Inference are made from the findings were incorporated to be improved on v v 55 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>N</td>			N
52 Use of statistics/parameters are clearly stated v 53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used v 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered v 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses v 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics v 57 Units of analyses is considered v 58 Used appropriate statistical tests v 59 Non forgery of statistics/data v 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated v 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions v 62 Deductions are made from the findings v 63 Inference are made from the findings v 64 Summary of findings are explained v 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings v 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved v 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed v 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on			N
53 Appropriate statistical formula/formulae are stated and used √ 54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered √ 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses √ 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics √ 57 Units of analyses is considered √ 58 Used appropriate statistical tests √ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data √ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated √ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses √ 63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are explained √ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are use			N
54 The basic assumptions underlying test of hypotheses/statistical tests were considered \ 55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses \ 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics \ 57 Units of analyses is considered \ 58 Used appropriate statistical tests \ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data \ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated \ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions \ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses \ 63 Inference are made from the findings \ 64 Summary of findings are explained \ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings \ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved \ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed \ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on \ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used \ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowle			N
55 Established the degree of freedom in testing hypotheses √ 56 Established the tail test for each test statistics √ 57 Units of analyses is considered √ 58 Used appropriate statistical tests √ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data √ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated √ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses √ 63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are explained √ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>N</td></tr<>			N
56 Established the tail test for each test statistics \ 57 Units of analyses is considered \ 58 Used appropriate statistical tests \ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data \ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated \ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions \ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses \ 63 Inference are made from the findings \ 64 Summary of findings are explained \ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings \ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved \ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed \ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on \ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established \ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study \ 72 Conclusion was drawn \ 73 Implication for the study was well explained \ <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			
57 Units of analyses is considered √ 58 Used appropriate statistical tests √ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data √ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated √ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses √ 63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are explained √ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √			N
58 Used appropriate statistical tests \ 59 Non forgery of statistics/data \ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated \ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions \ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses \ 63 Inference are made from the findings \ 64 Summary of findings are explained \ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings \ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved \ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed \ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on \ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used \ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established \ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study \ 72 Conclusion was drawn \ 73 Implication for the study was well explained \ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined \			N
59 Non forgery of statistics/data √ 60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated √ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses √ 63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are explained √ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √ <		•	N
60 Acceptance/rejection of null hypotheses were stated √ 61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses √ 63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are explained √ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			N
61 Ensured that descriptive statistics are explained well for the research questions √ 62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses √ 63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are explained √ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √		e .	
62 Deductions are made from the hypotheses √ 63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are explained √ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			
63 Inference are made from the findings √ 64 Summary of findings are explained √ 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 65 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			N
64 Summary of findings are explained √ Chapter Five 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 65 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			N
Chapter Five 65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings \forall 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved \forall 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed \forall 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on \forall 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used \forall 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established \forall 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study \forall 72 Conclusion was drawn \forall 73 Implication for the study was well explained \forall 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined \forall 75 Used APA formatting in referencing \forall			
65 If the recommendations are in line with the findings √ 66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √	64		\checkmark
66 If the recommendations are directed to the stakeholders involved √ 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			1
 67 Discussion of findings is aligned with the variables discussed √ 68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn 73 Implication for the study was well explained 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined 75 Used APA formatting in referencing 			
68 Lacks found in the findings were incorporated to be improved on √ 69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			
69 Direct and linked recommendation statements are used √ 70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			
70 Discussions were adequately done and contribution to knowledge established √ 71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ References and Appendix 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			
71 Findings of previous studies are compared to the present study √ 72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ References and Appendix 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			
72 Conclusion was drawn √ 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ References and Appendix 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √			
 73 Implication for the study was well explained √ 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √ 			
 74 Suggestion for further studies was outlined √ References and Appendix 75 Used APA formatting in referencing √ 			
References and Appendix 75 Used APA formatting in referencing			V
75 Used APA formatting in referencing $$	74		\checkmark
			I
76 Copy(s) of the instrument is attached at the appendix $$			
	76	Copy(s) of the instrument is attached at the appendix	

77	Population table is attached at the appendix	
78	Sample table is attached at the appendix	\checkmark
79	Validation evidence is attached	\checkmark
80	Reliability calculation is attached	\checkmark

Table 1 shows the content validity of AEDRPC. It was indicated that the all the 80 items developed by the researcher met the content of format used in writing education research projects for degree (undergraduate and postgraduate) students. The conclusion is that the items on the checklist met the required content and can measure what they are set to measure.

Research Question Two: What is the reliability of AEDRPC using Kendell Coefficient of Concordance (W)?

Table 2: Validity coefficient of the items on Economics Mock Examination (EME) as judged by the three experts on its content

n	W	Decision
80	0.92	Very High Reliability

Table 2 shows the reliability of AEDRPC using Kendell Coefficient of Concordance (W). The result of the analysis indicated that the number of items is 80 while the Kendal's coefficient of concordance validity is 0.92. The result indicates that all the objectives and content areas were well covered. Thus, the agreement among the seven judges and the distribution items to the objectives and content areas are enough evidence that the instrument is reliable. The conclusion is that there is a very high agreement/reliable among the judges on the items of AEDRPC.

Research Question Three: What is the reliability of AEDRPC using Phi Coefficient (0)?

Table 3: Phi Coefficient (0) reliability for AEDRPC

n	(0)	Decision
1000	0.72	Highly Reliable

Table 3 shows the Phi Coefficient (0) reliability for AEDRPC. The result of the analysis indicated that n is 1000 while Phi Coefficient (0) reliability co-efficient is 0.72. This helped to establish the consistency of the AEDRPC. The result reveals that the AEDRPC has a high reliability and should be used. The conclusion is that AEDRPC is highly reliable for assessing the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities in South-East Geo-Political Zone of Nigeria.

DISCUSSION

The result of the study revealed that the items on the checklist met the required content and can measure what they are set to measure. In line with this finding, Balogun and Mustapha (2014), concluded that test items that have high factor loading and satisfy other psychometric properties are important for selection in any instrument development and validation study. This implies that the items on AEDRPC are good to be used by assessors to assess the

appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities. This is prove that the items on the checklist adequately measure what it set out to measure.

It was further revealed in this study that there is a very high agreement/reliable among the judges on the items of AEDRPC. This implies that the instrument can consistently measure the set objectives for which it was design to measure. In agreement with the above finding, Onye and Amaechi (2016) found out that their scale had a high reliability index of 0.84. This implies that the checklist can consistently and trustily measure the set objectives.

It was also found in this study that AEDRPC is highly reliable for assessing the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities in South-East Geo-Political Zone of Nigeria. This implies that the items on the checklist are internally consistent to measure the traits it ought to measure. Awofala (2011) found out that their instrument had good reliability with Cronbach alpha coefficient of 0.70. The value indicated that there is agreement in the scoring pattern of the items. This implies that researchers and evaluators can use AEDRPC in assessing the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that the 80 item checklist titled "Appropriateness of Education Degree Research Projects' Checklist (AEDRPC)" are valid, suitable and reliable, to measure the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities in South-East Geo-Political Zone of Nigeria. Therefore the evaluators and academia should always adopt the instrument to ensure quality in research writing.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the researchers recommend that:

- Evaluators and researchers in universities should adopt this instrument in assessing the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities.
- The university administration can adopt the instrument in assessing the appropriateness of education degree research projects in Universities.
- The instrument should be adopted as a means for maintaining uniformity and effectiveness in the management of university research.

REFERENCES

- Amaechi, C. E. (2016). Evaluation of education post-graduate students' adherence to instrument validation practices in research writings in Universities in Abia State. *A paper* presented at the 18th annual national conference of the Association of Education Researchers and Evaluators of Nigeria (ASSEREN).
- Amaechi, C. E., Amaechi, N. V., & Emerole, P. M. C. (2015). Postgraduate education students' rating of difficult areas in research writing in Imo State University, Owerri. *Journal of Educational Media and Technology*, 19(3), 24-35.
- Amaechi, C. E., Onah, F. E., & Nosike, M. C. (2016). Development of a scale for assessing effectiveness of entrepreneurship education administrators in south-east universities, Nigeria. *Paper* presented at the 31st annual congress of Nigerian academy of education.

- Awofala, A. O. (2011). Development and factorial structure of students' evaluation of teaching effectiveness scale in mathematics. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, 7(1), 33-44.
- Balogun, K. O., & Mustapha, A. O. (2014). Development validation of psycho-productive test in sheet metalwork for assessing students in Technical Colleges in Bauchi, State, Nigeria. *Journal of Home Economics Research*, 18(1), 21-29.
- Egwim, C. C., & Amaechi, C. E. (2015). Reformed classroom procedures and steps for assessing students' learning outcomes in schools. In S.G.N. Eze, K.A. Achuonye, &G. O. Uzoechina (Eds.), *Teacher Education in Nigeria: Innovations and Reforms*(92-118). Onitsha: Global Academic Group Online Academic Resources.

Federal Republic of Nigeria (2014). National policy on education. Lagos: NERDC.

- Ibe, H. N. (2008). An assessment of B.Ed. projects in A.I.F.C.E. Nigerian Journal of Educational Research and Evaluation, 8(1), 85-91
- Ifedili, C., & Omiunu, S. (2012). Supervision of undergraduate final year's project requirement in Nigerian universities-the way out of the wood. *Asian Culture and History*, 4(2), 153-160.
- Isangedighi, A.J., & Ogomaka, P.M.C. (1992). *Educational research methods*. Owerri: Totan Publishers.
- Nwana, O. C. (2007). Introduction to educational research. Ibadan: HEBN Publishers.
- Ogomaka, P. M. C. (2004). Inferential statistics for research in education and social sciences. Owerri: Peace Systems & Prints.
- Onye, C. O., & Amaechi, C. E. (2016). Development and validation of instrument for assessing human resource management effectiveness in tertiary institutions in Imo State, Nigeria. A paper presented at the 1ST Annual National Conference of Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, Enugu State University of Science And Technology (ESUT).

📰 © JSRE

ⁱ Onah, Frederick Ekene (Ph.D.) is a reader (Assoc. Professor) in the Department of Life Science Education, Faculty of Education, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria. He is a specialist in Educational Measurement and Evaluation.

ⁱⁱAmaechi, Chidera Emmanuel is a Ph.D. student from the Department of Science Education, Faculty of Education, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, Nigeria. He specialises in Educational Measurement and Evaluation including research and test construction.