



Negative Effects of Leadership on Organizational Performance

Oyinyechi Osobi Wejinya

Department of Education Management
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria
osobi.wejinya@gmail.com

Stephen Ehibor Agwoje

Department of Business Management
Iscom University, Benin Republic
seagwoje@gamil.com

Abstract

The quality of an organization's leadership has a significant impact on its growth, productivity, and success. An organization's bottom line might take a serious hit if its leadership makes certain bad choices. This research focused on how negative leadership might hurt an organization's performance. Employee morale, group dynamics, decision-making processes, and even organization's culture have all been found to be influenced. Poor leadership manifests itself in a variety of ways, including an abusive attitude, a lack of vision, a failure to communicate effectively, and a refusal to empower employees. The effect is a decrease in morale and productivity on the part of the workforce. Everyone on a team suffers when there is no trust, no cooperation, and no open lines of communication. Lack of knowledge, unwillingness to freely convey opinions, and choosing undesirable possibilities all contribute to poor decision making. Uninspiring leadership also decreases morale and cooperation within the workforce. In order to effectively manage and reduce these effects, businesses must be aware of them. Moral leadership, open communication, employee independence, and a pleasant work environment are all qualities that should be encouraged. These techniques inspire teamwork and originality among workers, which in turn improves productivity, retention, customer service, and brand image. Organizations may create a positive work environment that supports growth, employee involvement, and sustained success if they can recognize and address the unintended consequences of their leadership. Long-term success and growth for organizations need strong leaders who set a good example.

Keywords: Leadership, Organizational Performance, Negative Impacts, Employee Motivation, Team Dynamics, Decision-Making Procedures, and Corporate Culture.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows:

Wejinya, O. O., & Agwoje, S. E. (2023). Negative effects of leadership on organizational performance. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Education*, 16(4), 368-379.

INTRODUCTION

It is often believed that a company's success might depend heavily on its management. Scholars in a wide variety of professions have examined the impact of leadership on business success at length. Improved leadership raises organizational outcomes including morale, output, and worker satisfaction. However, poor leadership practices can have the opposite effect, hurting the business and its employees. According to Bass and Riggio (2006), leadership is the act of influencing and directing individuals or groups to accomplish organizational goals. Leaders are responsible for their teams' performance and are expected to influence their followers to take action by providing direction, outlining goals, and inspiring them to take initiative. They need to be able to understand the big picture, communicate effectively, motivate their team members, and delegate work effectively. Numerous studies have examined the potential effects of poor management on an organization's output. Close monitoring by superiors is one of the negative leadership practices that Judge and Piccolo (2004) found to be associated with poorer work satisfaction, more turnover, and reduced employee engagement. This is a perfect example of how ineffective management can ruin morale and productivity.

It is hard to put a price on creating a positive and supportive workplace for your employees. According to Kozlowski and Ilgen (2006), a unified team is crucial to the success of any company. Negative leadership practices, such as micromanagement and a lack of confidence in team members, can have a chilling impact on team dynamics, lowering the likelihood of collaboration, creativity, and synergy. Employee decision-making is also significantly influenced by an organization's leadership. Vroom and Jago (2007) argued that excellent leaders improve morale and productivity by including workers in decision-making. The decision-making process can be hampered by bad leadership practices including making choices unilaterally or disregarding employee criticism, which can lead to less-than-ideal outcomes and poor performance. Leadership has a significant impact on shaping an organization's culture.

Schein (2004) argued that a company's culture has a significant impact on employees' attitudes, behaviors, and output. Bad leadership may have a detrimental effect on morale and productivity in the workplace by creating an atmosphere of mistrust, fear, and poor motivation among workers. The effectiveness with which an organization achieves its goals is directly related to the caliber of its leadership. However, the opposite is true of inadequate leadership, which may have a devastating impact on morale, collaboration, and production. In order to avoid these drawbacks and instead encourage the kind of effective leadership practices that contribute to long-term success and sustainable performance, businesses must be aware of them and take appropriate measures.

CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION

Definition and Characteristics of Negative Leadership

Negative leadership is displayed when leaders treat their people poorly and set a bad example. Some people just have personalities and habits that aren't good for company and lead to low morale and productivity among workers. Aggressive, autocratic, or unethical leadership styles may reduce employee motivation and quality of work. Leaders that resort to threats or physical violence are rarely effective. Abusive managers frequently use threats, physical assault, and other types of intimidation and humiliation to keep their staff in line. Low job satisfaction,

increased turnover, and decreased commitment to the company are all results of such actions (Tepper, 2007).

Unwanted leaders are often also excessively autocratic. Authoritarian leaders are known for their tight hierarchies, excessive micromanagement, and indifference to employee feedback. Those who are led in this manner report lower levels of motivation, autonomy, and job satisfaction (Ogona, 2022; Ololube, 2021). It has been said that ineffective leadership is to be blamed for the decline in morality and integrity. Dishonesty and a lack of trust are fostered when leaders engage in illegal or unethical behavior such as lying, cheating, or bribery. Lack of ethical leadership may have a negative impact on a company's financial line and legal standing.

Poor leadership is characterized by abusive behavior, authoritarianism, a lack of ethics, incompetence, and micromanagement. When particular traits and behaviors become the norm in the workplace, they have a negative impact on morale, collaboration, productivity, and safety. Organizations that want to provide an environment where employees may reach their full potential should work to eliminate inefficient leadership practices. Ineffective leaders also struggle to connect with others and articulate their vision. Both morale and output suffer when CEOs are unable to communicate honestly with their teams about problems and their objectives. Workplace stress, low morale, and decreased output are all results (Ananyi, 2022).

One of the hallmarks of ineffective leadership is the inability to recognize and reward people for their contributions. Employees are less invested in their work and more likely to leave the organization if they don't feel valued by their superiors (Maxwell, 2007). Employee motivation and output might suffer if they felt unappreciated. One hallmark of bad leadership is a failure to recognize the individual value of team members. According to studies conducted by Derks et al. (2016), an organization's capacity to recruit and retain employees from various backgrounds is negatively impacted when upper-level management displays bias, prejudice, or favoritism. In their research, Carmeli et al. (2010) concluded that firms with inclusive leadership had higher morale, more innovation, and are more successful overall.

Another effect of bad management is employees who don't care about the organization's future. Disarray, indifference, and unrest can arise when leaders don't instill a shared vision for the organization they manage (Okonkwo & Ananyi, 2022). The organization's output and agility to adapt to changing market conditions might suffer as a result. Typical traits of bad leadership include abusive conduct, authoritarianism, unethical practices, incompetence, micromanagement, poor communication, a lack of appreciation and respect, a disregard for others uniqueness, and an inability to see the big picture. When these characteristics are widespread in the workplace, they can have a negative impact on morale, collaboration, culture, and productivity. Negative leadership practices must be identified and addressed before long-term success, productivity in the workplace, and high employee engagement can be attained. Investing in leadership development, establishing clear expectations and ethical rules, promoting open communication, offering support and recognition, accepting diversity and inclusion, and defining a compelling vision are all ways in which organizations may mitigate the negative consequences of leadership.

Negative Leadership on Employee Motivation

The morale of the entire company can take a hit when top management is ineffective. Poor leadership has been shown to lower staff morale and commitment. Employee motivation is negatively affected by abusive supervision, such as teasing, demeaning, or openly denouncing workers (Tepper, 2007). When ineffective management techniques are used, employees lose

interest in their work and become dissatisfied with their jobs. When workers feel their independence and competence are being questioned, they become less motivated to perform at their best (Kark et al., 2003). Eisenbeiss et al. (2008) discovered that when employees feel threatened by their superiors, they are less likely to take the initiative to study and develop their skills.

Studies demonstrate that leadership actions that aid employees and give them some degree of autonomy have a positive effect on morale. Research by Wang, Sui, Luthans, Wang, and Wu (2014) found that employees were more inspired and motivated by leaders who publicly acknowledged their efforts and successes. When leaders set attainable goals, give constructive criticism, and provide training and development opportunities, employees are more likely to feel motivated to succeed (Eisenbeiss et al., 2008). Precautions should be taken to prevent bad management from damaging employee morale. Investing in leadership courses may help firms cultivate employees with admirable leadership qualities and habits (Wu et al., 2012). Worker pride and motivation are improved by systems that encourage two-way communication, feedback, and employee participation in decision making (Eisenbeiss et al., 2008). When a culture of excellent leadership is formed and bad leadership practices are eradicated, it has a beneficial effect on employee motivation, engagement, productivity, and the company's overall performance.

Negative Leadership on Decision-Making Processes

Bad leaders may have a major influence on how an organization makes decisions. The effectiveness and quality of leaders' decision-making might suffer when they exhibit undesirable personality traits or act unilaterally. Autocratic decision-making is a poor leadership practice, and leaders that do so are participating in it when they make decisions without considering employee feedback. Decisions made by CEOs without employee input tend to lower morale and productivity (Vroom & Jago, 2007). The quality of decisions may decrease if relevant information or points of view are ignored. However, decision-making strategies that include staff input tend to provide better outcomes and sustain employees' interest over time. When employees are given an opportunity to voice their thoughts and contribute to decision-making, Tasa and Whyte (2005) discovered that they become more involved in their job. Decisions are more informed and more effective when everyone adds their knowledge and experience.

The pace at which a company's decisions are implemented can be slowed by incompetent leadership. Confused, unwilling, or uninspired employees are the result of leaders that fail to adequately explain alternatives and provide necessary resources (Sutton, 2007). As a result, a company's output and error rate might go down. Transformative leadership, along with other effective leadership practices, can, nonetheless, improve the effectiveness of decision-making. Transformational leaders engage and energize their teams by communicating a compelling vision, fostering an environment where ideas may flourish, and encouraging open dialogue (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Managers that respect and solicit employee opinion find that it yields better results and advances the company's core principles.

Adopting a culture of shared decision making and open communication can help businesses mitigate the negative effects of leadership on decision making. As such, leaders should be provided with the tools they need to hone their decision-making skills, such as the ability to mediate conflicts, weigh different perspectives, and provide a welcoming space for debate (Vroom & Jago, 2007). Transparent standards and procedures for decision-making may

increase employee buy-in and ensure all relevant facts and data are evaluated. To win over employees and ensure a seamless rollout, it's important to provide clear rationale for actions (Sutton, 2007). Businesses may benefit from their employees' combined knowledge and experience if they encourage effective leadership qualities and employ collaborative methods of making decisions.

Implications on Employee Engagement

If an organization's leader is pessimistic, employees may lose confidence and productivity suffers. The morale, energy, and dedication of the workforce plummet as a result. These issues contribute to a dismal environment, a lack of motivation among workers, and a high turnover rate. Positive leadership practices that increase employees' motivation, contentment with their work, and engagement in their work are essential for any company that wants to create a thriving workplace.

Reduced Commitment and Loyalty: When leaders are dishonest or do not support their colleagues, they lose credibility and the respect of their subordinates. If employees do not have confidence in the organization's ability to execute a good job, they will not put out as much effort. If workers are not happy in their jobs and feel like they're making a difference for the organization, they may leave (Meyer et al., 2009).

Diminished Employee Morale: To what extent an employee cares about their work and the success of the organization may be determined by observing the level of their involvement. Disengagement occurs in teams when leaders fail to inspire and motivate their members. Employees will not go above and above if they have no loyalty to the organization they work for. This might hinder the organization's capacity to innovate and reach its objectives (Ololube, 2017). "Engaged" workers, on the other hand, go above and beyond in their work and report higher levels of commitment, creativity, and job satisfaction.

Decline Employee if Poor Leadership Practices are not Corrected

An organization's morale might suffer if the leader treats employees poorly, creates a dangerous environment, or fails to recognize and reward good performance. Detrimental leadership practices have been linked to a decline in employee satisfaction and morale. One of such activity is making workers feel unappreciated, uninspired, and emotionally spent. Employees who are not happy will not contribute as much to the organization's success, will not work as hard, and eventually will quit (Tepper, 2007).

Employee dissatisfaction: Leadership that is autocratic, micromanages, and fails to communicate is a common cause of hostile and unsupportive workplaces. Lacks of trust, respect, and purpose at work have all been linked to discontent among employees. According to research by Judge et al. (2001), job dissatisfaction can lead to increased stress, decreased productivity, and even job searching.

Reduced Commitment and Loyalty: When leaders are dishonest or do not support their colleagues, they lose credibility and the respect of their subordinates. If employees do not have

confidence in the company's ability to execute a good job, they will not put out as much effort. If employees are not happy in their jobs and feel like they're making a difference for the organization, they may leave (Meyer et al., 2009).

Diminished Employee Engagement: To what extent an employee cares about their work and the success of the company may be determined by observing the level of their involvement. Disengagement occurs in teams when leaders fail to inspire and motivate their members. Employees will not go above and above if they have no loyalty to the organization they work for. This might hinder the business's capacity to innovate and reach its objectives (Ololube, 2017). "Engaged" workers, on the other hand, go above and beyond in their work and report higher levels of commitment, creativity, and job satisfaction. Positive leadership practices that increase employees' motivation, contentment with their work, and engagement in their work are essential for any organization that wants to create a thriving workplace.

Negative Leadership and its Impact on Organizational Culture

An organization's productivity is heavily influenced by its cultural norms and values, which in turn affect the ways in which its individuals think and behave. The toxic atmosphere that has an adverse influence on morale and production may have been brought about by poor management. Negative leadership actions, such as bullying and harassment, contribute to the development of a toxic corporate culture marked by an atmosphere of fear, mistrust, and poor morale. According to the research of Tepper (2007), a hostile and frightening work atmosphere can result when leaders are abusive to their employees. Workplace enthusiasm, energy, and output are all negatively affected. The organization and its employees suffer when leaders put their personal demands ahead of those of the business. Trevio et al. (2003) found that when high-level executives are dishonest or lack integrity, it hurts the company's ethical atmosphere and employees' faith in management.

On the other side, the activities of company executives may contribute to creating a productive and enjoyable workplace environment. When leaders model these behaviors, their followers are more likely to adopt them as well, according to research by Avolio et al. (2009). These leaders are exemplary role models who create a setting in which everyone on the team feels valued, supported, and motivated to give their all. A diversified and welcoming leadership team is one possible influence on a company's culture. When leaders openly support and encourage other perspectives, they create a climate where innovation and creativity may thrive (Heksman et al., 2017). A company's ability to compete in today's increasingly diverse business environment may be harmed by leaders who are biased or who hinder diversity efforts. Programs designed to foster exemplary leadership abilities can mitigate the negative effects of poor management on a company's culture. Emotional intelligence, ethical decision making, and inclusive leadership are all areas where leaders may benefit from instruction (Avolio et al., 2009).

Organizations should also provide channels for employees to provide feedback on management and the corporate culture. By fostering lines of open and honest communication that allow for the early detection and correction of unwanted leadership behaviours, a culture of continuous learning and responsibility may be developed. Negative consequences on productivity, morale, and health may result from pervasive poor leadership within an organization. Positive leadership behaviors may be encouraged and rewarded, and a culture of

honesty, inclusion, and respect can be fostered, all of which contribute to a thriving and healthy workplace that fosters employee engagement, productivity, and long-term success.

Justifying Negative Effects of Leadership on Organizational Performance

Businesses may mitigate the damage caused by ineffective management by instituting rules and training programs that promote more positive methods of leading teams and businesses. Assuming responsibility for their positions and encouraging a culture of accountability and continuous improvement may boost morale and productivity throughout an organization. Leadership seminars are one method that may be used to train future leaders and disseminate best practices. This sort of leadership training might help business leaders learn how to motivate their teams, make moral decisions, and create a culture where employees feel comfortable speaking freely. It may be beneficial for firms to engage in leadership training for its top executives to guarantee they have the abilities to take the company to new heights. Implementing a code of ethics or behavior for an organization's leaders would be a great step in that direction. These guidelines suggested that leaders in every given organization should be guided by principles of honesty, decency, and fairness. It's possible that setting such standards would give our leaders something to work towards while also giving the public a means to hold them responsible.

It is critical for organizations to create a setting where employees feel safe providing and receiving honest feedback. Specifically, this entails making it possible for employees to have their voices heard and provide suggestions to management (Ololube, 2017). The long-term repercussions of inadequate leadership can be mitigated by giving employees a safe space to voice their concerns anonymously. One way to mitigate leadership's negative effects on productivity is through an effective performance management system. Management may create clear performance targets, give frequent feedback and coaching, identify and promote great leadership behaviors, and so inspire leaders to show desired behaviors that contribute to the success of the organization (Ololube, 2019). The value of good leadership may be demonstrated via the use of a performance management system that forges a connection between personal and organizational objectives.

In addition, organizations succeed when they foster a mindset of perpetual learning and improvement. A growth attitude that welcomes feedback, self-reflection, and development is necessary for this goal to be attained. Leaders in companies that support their workers' efforts to grow professionally may be more equipped to handle the pressures of the modern business world. Organizations can mitigate the financial risks associated with ineffective leadership by adopting the practices that create successful leaders. Organizations that execute leadership development programs, set clear objectives, encourage open communication, monitor performance efficiently, and build a culture of learning may be more successful in fostering an environment where outstanding leaders are encouraged, recognized, and retained. Organizations benefit from increased productivity, satisfied employees, and sustainable expansion (Ololube, 2019).

Curbing the Effects of Negative Leadership on the Organization

Recognizing the detrimental impact of negative leadership, organizations must take proactive measures to curb these effects and create a positive work environment. Implementing effective

solutions and strategies can help mitigate the negative consequences of negative leadership. The following approaches offer potential remedies:

Leadership Development and Training Programs: Investing in leadership development and training programs is crucial for curbing the effects of negative leadership. Organizations can provide leadership training to current and aspiring leaders, focusing on essential skills such as effective communication, conflict resolution, emotional intelligence, and fostering positive relationships with employees (Avolio et al., 2009). These programs enhance leadership competencies, promote self-awareness, and empower leaders to cultivate a positive work culture, motivating employees, and driving organizational success.

Promoting Ethical Leadership: Promoting ethical leadership is vital for curbing the negative effects of unethical behavior within organizations. Ethical leadership emphasizes integrity, transparency, and accountability. Leaders should serve as ethical role models, demonstrating ethical decision-making, fairness, and a commitment to ethical conduct (Brown & Trevino, 2005). By fostering an ethical culture, organizations can prevent and address negative behaviors, cultivate trust among employees, and enhance organizational performance and reputation.

Encouraging Employee Feedback and Voice: Creating avenues for employee feedback and voice is essential for curbing the effects of negative leadership. Organizations should establish mechanisms such as regular employee surveys, suggestion boxes, and open-door policies that allow employees to express their concerns, ideas, and suggestions without fear of retaliation (Ololube, 2019). Encouraging employee participation and valuing their perspectives fosters a culture of inclusivity, engagement, and collaboration, enabling the organization to address issues related to negative leadership and make necessary improvements.

Establishing Clear Performance Expectations and Feedback Mechanisms: Setting clear performance expectations and implementing feedback mechanisms can help curb the effects of negative leadership on employee morale and performance. Leaders should communicate performance expectations effectively, provide regular feedback and constructive criticism, and recognize and reward high-performing employees (Ololube, 2019). This fosters a performance-driven culture, motivates employees, and enables them to understand how their contributions align with organizational goals, reducing the negative impact of demotivating leadership behaviors.

Promoting a Positive Organizational Culture: Cultivating a positive organizational culture is instrumental in curbing the effects of negative leadership. Organizations should foster a culture of respect, trust, and open communication. This involves establishing clear values, promoting teamwork, recognizing employee achievements, and addressing negative behaviors promptly and fairly (Yukl, 2013). A positive organizational culture promotes employee engagement, satisfaction, and well-being, and mitigates the negative impact of toxic leadership.

Accountability and Consequences for Negative Leadership Behaviors: Establishing accountability and consequences for negative leadership behaviors is crucial for curbing their effects. Organizations should have policies and procedures in place to address instances of negative leadership, including mechanisms for reporting and investigating complaints. Holding

leaders accountable for their actions and imposing appropriate consequences reinforces the organization's commitment to a positive work environment and sends a clear message that negative behaviors will not be tolerated.

Encouraging Employee Development and Growth Opportunities: Providing opportunities for employee development and growth is an effective strategy for combating the negative effects of leadership. Organizations should offer training programs, mentorship opportunities, and career advancement prospects to employees, enabling them to enhance their skills and achieve their professional aspirations (Eisenbeiss et al., 2008). By investing in employee development, organizations foster a culture of continuous learning, engagement, and empowerment, reducing the impact of negative leadership on employee motivation and retention.

Curbing the effects of negative leadership requires a multifaceted approach that involves leadership development, promoting ethical conduct, encouraging employee feedback and voice, setting clear performance expectations, fostering a positive organizational culture, establishing accountability, and providing employee development opportunities. By implementing these strategies, organizations can create a conducive work environment, enhance employee engagement and well-being, and ultimately drive organizational success. Effective leadership practices that prioritize positive behaviors and values are vital for ensuring a healthy and thriving organizational ecosystem.

CONCLUSION

Poor management has been shown to have far-reaching consequences on an organization's productivity. This article has established that bad management may have a detrimental influence on employee attitudes, output, and commitment to the organization. The cumulative consequence of these issues is lower output and higher turnover. However, by taking preemptive action, organizations may decrease the impact of weak leadership. Courses in management offer leaders with the knowledge and skills necessary to create an effective work environment. The first step in adopting ethics across the board is to cultivate a trustworthy and truthful staff. When employees are given a forum for their opinions to be heard, problems that may be connected to incompetent management are mitigated. In order to build and maintain a performance-driven culture, it is crucial to set and monitor specific performance targets, as well as provide regular feedback on success.

Trust, open communication, and mutual regard among workers are the strongest defence against incompetent management. If leaders want to gain followers' trust, they must be made to answer for their deeds. Providing employees with opportunities for growth and development may enhance morale and mitigate the effects of incompetent management. Weak leadership has systemic consequences, which necessitate a systemic approach to coping with them. The presence of ethical leadership, training and development programs, promotion of ethical behavior, employee involvement, clear standards of behavior, acknowledgment for good performance, and possibilities for growth all contribute to a productive workplace. Incorporating this may boost morale, teamwork, and decision-making effectiveness in businesses.

Suggestions

The article will be more useful in explaining how poor leadership may hinder an organization's effectiveness and offering suggestions for improving the situation:

- An empirical study surveying employees from various organisations would greatly contribute to research on the negative effects of leadership by providing first-hand insights into the specific negative leadership behaviours experienced by employees and their corresponding impacts on organisational performance.
- There is a lack of investigation into whether or whether organisational factors including organisational structure, communication channels, and HR policies increase or diminish the influence of weak leadership on organisational performance.
- Organisational outcomes including excessive employee turnover, tarnished reputation, and inexperienced workforce can be mitigated by studying the effects of weak leadership.
- Organisations may learn crucial approaches and activities that effectively counteract the negative effects of leadership by analysing the performance indicators, employee satisfaction levels, and overall results of businesses that have successfully confronted terrible leadership.

REFERENCES

- Ananyi, S. O. (2022). Education for economic recovery: The Nigerian experience. *Proceedings of the 2nd international Conference on Institutional Leadership and Capacity Building in Africa ICILCB2020* (pp. 466-472). Held on the 26th-29th September 2022 September 2022 at University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.
- Avolio, B.J., Zhu, W., Koh, W., & Bhatia, P. (2009). Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment: Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment and Moderating Role of Structural Distance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25, 951-968. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/job.283>.
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.
- Brown, M., & Trevino, L. (2005). Ethical Leadership: A Social Learning Perspective for Construct Development and Testing. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 97, 117-134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2005.03.002>.
- Carmeli, A., Reiter-Palmon, R., & Ziv, E. (2010). Inclusive Leadership and Employee Involvement in Creative Tasks in the Workplace: The Mediating Role of Psychological Safety. *Psychology Faculty Publications*. 30. <https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/psychfacpub/30>.
- Derks, D., Bakker, A. B., Peters, P., & van Wingerden, P. (2016). Work-related smartphone use, work-family conflict and family role performance: The role of segmentation preference. *Human Relations*, 69(5). DOI: [10.1177/0018726715601890](https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726715601890).
- Edmondson, A. C. (2018). *The fearless organization: Creating psychological safety in the workplace for learning, innovation, and growth*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Eisenbeiss, S. A., van Knippenberg, D., & Boerner, S. (2008). Transformational leadership and team innovation: integrating team climate principles. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(6), 1438-46. doi: 10.1037/a0012716.

- Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 89*(5), 755–768.
- Judge, T. A., Thoresen, C. J., Bono, J. E., & Patton, G. K. (2001). *The job satisfaction–job performance relationship: A qualitative and quantitative review. Psychological Bulletin, 127*(3), 376–407.
- Kark, R., Shamir B., & Chen, G. (2003). The two faces of transformational leadership: empowerment and dependency. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 88*(2), 246–255. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.88.2.246.
- Kozlowski, S. W., & Ilgen, D. R. (2006). Enhancing the effectiveness of work groups and teams. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 7*(3), 77–124.
- Maxwell, J. C. (2007). *The 21 irrefutable laws of leadership: Follow them and people will follow you*. Thomas Nelson.
- Meyer, R. D., Dalal, R. S., & Bonaccio, S. (2009). A meta-analytic investigation into the moderating effects of situational strength on the conscientiousness-performance relationship. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 30*, 1077–1102.
- Ogona, I. K. (2022). Temperament disposition of leaders: its relevance to educational leadership and management in Nigeria. *International Journal of Institutional Leadership, Policy and Management, 4*(1), 195–212.
- Okonkwo, A. N., & Ananyi, S. O. (2022). Good governance: A solution to 21st century educational challenges. *Proceedings of the 2nd international Conference on Institutional Leadership and Capacity Building in Africa ICILCB2020 (pp. 193-200)*. ICILCB2022 held on the 26th-29th September 2022 at University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.
- Ololube, N. P. (2017). *Educational management, planning and supervision: model for effective implementation (2nd Edition)*. Pearl Publishers.
- Ololube, N. P. (2019). *Practical guide to human resources management and organizational theory*. Pearl Publishers.
- Ololube, N. P. (2021). *Social sin and the character of institutional leadership and management: A nation programmed to fail*. Ignatius Ajuru University of Education Inaugural lecture series No 32, September 30th 2021. Pearl Publisher.
- Schein, E. H. (2004). *Organizational culture and leadership (3rd ed.)*. Jossey-Bass.
- Sutton, R. I. (2007). *The no asshole rule: Building a civilized workplace and surviving one that isn't*. Business Plus.
- Tasa, K., & Whyte, G. (2005). Collective efficacy and vigilant problem solving in group decision making: A non-linear model. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 96*, 119–129.
- Tepper, B. J. (2007). Abusive supervision in work organizations: Review, synthesis, and research agenda. *Journal of Management, 33*(3), 261–289.
- Trevino, L. K., Brown, M., & Hartman, L. P. (2003). A qualitative investigation of perceived executive ethical leadership: perceptions from inside and outside the executive suite. *Human Relations, 55*, 5–37. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0018726703056001448>.
- Vroom, V. H., & Jago, A. G. (2007). *The role of the situation in leadership. American Psychologist, 62*(1), 17–24.
- Wang, H., Sui, Y., Luthans, F., Wang, D., & Wu, Y. (2014). Impact of Authentic Leadership on Performance: Role of Followers' Positive Psychological Capital and Relational Processes. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 35*, 5–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1850>.

